UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,671	03/11/2004	Jeffery Arnold Hales	0960-029	6310
JOHN L. DOU	7590 08/04/200 GHTY	EXAMINER		
ARRIS INTERNATIONAL INC. 3871 LAKEFIELD DRIVE			JAKOVAC, RYAN J	
SUWANEE, GA 30024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/798,671	HALES ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	RYAN J. JAKOVAC	2145		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	N V IS SET TO EXPIDE M	ONITH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS		
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provision of the	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdu 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date al Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/798,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 2145

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 recites a "Power Line Communications (PLC) interface." Claim 1 further recites "an interface to a subscriber coaxial network." Claim 6 recites "a plurality of MUT interfaces." These "interfaces" are not disclosed in the written description.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,040,759 to Sanderson.

Regarding claim 4, Sanderson teaches a Multi-Tenant Unit (MTU) interface, comprising: a Power Line Communications (PLC) interface (Sanderson, abstract, broadband multimedia services are provided over high voltage power lines.); an interface to a subscriber coaxial network servicing multiple tenant units (Sanderson, abstract, broadband multimedia services between two locations. Fig. 9, coaxial cable connecting customer premises. See also, col. 9, line 1-60.); and a bi-directional bridge, adapted to provide bi-directional transport of standard media protocols from the PLC interface to the coaxial interface (Sanderson, fig. 3, bi-directional transport. See also fig. 6-7.).

Regarding claim 5, Sanderson teaches the MTU interface of claim 4, further comprising: the PLC interface adapted to extract RF signals from a power line network and to communicate the RF signals over the coaxial network (Sanderson, col. 5, line 10-67. See also at least fig. 3, col. 6, line 1-65. See also abstract.).

Regarding claim 6, Sanderson teaches a system comprising: a plurality of MTU interfaces, each MTU interface comprising a Power Line Communications (PLC) interface (Sanderson, abstract, broadband multimedia services are provided over high voltage power lines.), an interface to a subscriber coaxial network servicing multiple tenant units (Sanderson, abstract, broadband multimedia services between two locations. Fig. 9, coaxial cable connecting

customer premises. See also, col. 9, line 1-60.), and a first bi-directional bridge; adapted to provide bi-directional transport of standard media protocols from the PLC interface to the coaxial interface (Sanderson, fig. 3, bi-directional transport. See also fig. 6-7.), the PLC interface adapted to extract RF signals from a power line network and to communicate the RF signals over the coaxial network (Sanderson, col. 5, line 10-67. See also at least fig. 3, col. 6, line 1-65. See also abstract.).

Regarding claim 7, Sanderson teaches a method comprising: applying an MTU interface to extract RF signals from a power line network and distribute the RF signals over a subscriber coaxial network to multiple tenant and/or office locations (Sanderson, col. 5, line 10-67. See also at least fig. 3, col. 6, line 1-65. See also abstract.).

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/798,671 Page 5

Art Unit: 2145

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RYAN J. JAKOVAC whose telephone number is (571)270-5003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am to 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason D. Cardone can be reached on (571) 272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,671 Page 6

Art Unit: 2145

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RJ

/Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145